



Sh. Rajinder Singh, (8288869307)

S/o Sh. Om Kumar,
 V.P.O. Kot Fatuhi, Tehsil Garhshankar,
 Distt Hoshiarpur - 144519

.....Appellant/Complainant

Versus

Public Information Officer

O/o XEN, Provincial Division, PWD,
 Gurdaspur.

.....Respondent

First Appellate Authority

O/o Superintending Engineer, Construction Circle,
 PWD B&R, Pathankot.

Appeal Case No.3274 of 2021
(Cisco Webex Proceedings)

RTI application filed on	:	03-05-2021
PIO replied on	:	-
First appeal filed on	:	04-06-2021
First Appellate Authority order	:	-

Present: Appellant: Absent.

Respondent: Sh. Parwinder Singh (SDO), 9646101035

ORDER:

1. This order may be read with the reference of previous order of this Commission 22.12.2021 vide which the appellant was absent but he was directed to deposit the additional fees followed by which he was to be supplied by the sought information by the respondent authority , but the appellant failed to do so.

Information sought

1	ਸਮਾ 1-4-2020 ਤੋਂ 31-03-2021 ਤੱਕ ਆਪ ਜੀ ਦੇ ਮੰਡਲ ਵਿਚ ਕਿਹੜੇ ਕਿਹੜੇ ਕਾਰਜਕਰੀ ਇੰਜੀਨੀਅਰ ਤੋਲਾਤ ਰਹੇ ਹਨ ਉਨਾਂ ਦਾ ਨਾਮ ਅਤੇ ਸਮਾ ਦੱਸਿਆ ਜਾਵੇ।
2	ਸਮਾ 1-4-2020 ਤੋਂ 31-03-2021 ਤੱਕ ਆਪ ਜੀ ਦੇ ਮੰਡਲ ਦੇ ਟੈਂਡਰ ਰਜਿਸਟਰ ਦੀਆਂ ਫੋਟੋ ਕਾਪੀਆਂ ਦਿੱਤੀਆਂ ਜਾਣ ਤਾਂ ਜੋ ਪਤਾ ਲਗ ਸਕੇ ਕਿ ਇਸ ਸਮੇਂ ਦੌਰਾਨ ਕਿਹੜੇ ਕਿਹੜੇ ਕੰਮ ਅਲਾਟ ਕੀਤੇ ਗਏ ਹਨ।
3	ਸਮਾ 1-4-2020 ਤੋਂ 31-03-2021 ਤੱਕ ਆਪ ਦੇ ਮੰਡਲ ਦੇ ਸਾਰੀਆਂ ਡਿਪਾਜ਼ਿਟ ਕੱਸ ਬੁੱਕ ਦੀਆਂ ਫੋਟੋ ਕਾਪੀਆਂ ਦਿੱਤੀਆਂ ਜਾਣ।
4	ਸਮਾ 1-4-2020 ਤੋਂ 31-03-2021 ਤੱਕ ਜਿਹੜੇ ਕੰਮ 5 ਲੱਖ ਰੁਪਏ ਤੋਂ ਘੱਟ ਅਲਾਟ ਕੀਤੇ ਗਏ ਹਨ ਉਨਾਂ ਦੇ ਅਲਾਟਮੈਂਟ ਦੀਆਂ ਕਾਪੀਆਂ ਦਿੱਤੀਆਂ ਜਾਣ। ਅਤੇ ਇਨ੍ਹਾਂ ਅਗੱਸਟ ਹੋਈ ਅਦਾਇਗੀ ਦੇ ਬਿੱਲਾਂ ਦੀਆਂ ਕਾਪੀਆਂ ਦਿੱਤੀਆਂ ਜਾਣ। ਅਤੇ ਜੇਕਰ ਇਨ੍ਹਾਂ ਕੰਮਾਂ ਦੀ ਕੋਈ ਇਨਵਾਇਸਟ ਜਾਂ ਟਾਈਮ ਅਕਸਟੇਸ਼ਨ ਕੀਤੀ ਗਈ ਹੈ ਤਾਂ ਉਸਦੀ ਵੀ ਤਸਦੀਕਸ਼ੁਦਾ ਫੋਟੋ ਕਾਪੀ ਦਿੱਤੀ ਜਾਵੇ ਜੀ
5	ਸਮਾ 1-4-2020 ਤੋਂ 31-3-2021 ਤੱਕ ਦੇ ਆਪ ਦੇ ਅਧੀਨ ਆਉਂਦੇ ਸਾਰੇ ਉਪ ਮੰਡਲ ਦਫਤਰਾਂ ਦੇ ਕੁਟਸ਼ਨ ਰਜਿਸਟਰ/ਵਰਕ ਆਡਰ ਬੁੱਕ/ਆਪ ਵਲੋਂ ਕੁਟਸ਼ਨਾਂ ਦੀਆਂ ਦਿੱਤੀਆਂ ਅਪਰੂਵਲਾਂ/ ਅਤੇ ਕੁਟਸ਼ਨਾਂ ਅਗੱਸਟ ਕੀਤੀਆਂ ਅਦਾਇਗੀਆਂ ਦੇ ਬਿੱਲਾਂ ਦੀਆਂ ਕਾਪੀਆਂ ਦਿੱਤੀਆਂ ਜਾਣ।

2. It is this Commission's view that appellant took several chances to present his case but he failed to do so and comply with order of the Commission. He remained absent in the court without any intimation. Moreover, the appellant is at liberty to collect the requisite information after depositing the addition fee to the appellant. This appeal case is herewith CLOSED with above observations.

Sd/-

Chandigarh
Dated: 13.04.2022

(Maninder Singh Patti)
State Information Commissioner, Pb.



Sh. Rajinder Singh, (8288869307)

S/o Sh. Om Kumar,
V.P.O. Kot Fatuhi, Tehsil Garhshankar,
Distt Hoshiarpur - 144519

.....Appellant/Complainant

Versus

Public Information Officer

O/o XEN, Construction Division, PWD,
Ropar.

.....Respondent

First Appellate Authority

O/o Superintending Engineer, Construction Circle,
PWD B&R, Chandigarh.

Appeal Case No.3275 of 2021
(Cisco Webex Proceedings)

RTI application filed on	:	03-05-2021
PIO replied on	:	10-05-2021
First appeal filed on	:	04-06-2021
First Appellate Authority order	:	-

Present: Appellant: Absent

Respondent: Sh. Vivek Dureja (SDO), 9876647324

ORDER:

1. This order may be read with the reference of previous order of the Commission dated 22.12.2021.

Information sought:

- | | |
|---|--|
| 1 | ਉਪਰੋਕਤ ਸਮੇਂ ਦੌਰਾਨ ਆਪ ਜੀ ਦੇ ਮੰਡਲ ਵਿਚ ਕਿਹੜੇ ਕਿਹੜੇ ਕਾਰਜਕਾਰਾਂ ਇੰਜੀਨੀਅਰ ਤੈਨਾਤ ਰਹੇ ਹਨ ਉਨ੍ਹਾਂ ਦਾ ਨਾਮ ਅਤੇ ਸਮਾ ਦੱਸਿਆ ਜਾਵੇ। |
| 2 | ਉਪਰੋਕਤ ਸਮੇਂ ਦੌਰਾਨ ਦੇ ਆਪਦੇ ਮੰਡਲ ਦੇ ਟੈਂਡਰ ਰਜਿਸਟਰ ਦੀਆਂ ਫੋਟੋ ਕਾਪੀਆਂ ਦਿੱਤੀਆਂ ਜਾਣ ਤਾਂ ਜੋ ਪਤਾ ਲਗ ਸਕੇ ਕਿ ਇਸ ਸਮੇਂ ਦੌਰਾਨ ਕਿਹੜੇ ਕਿਹੜੇ ਕੰਮ ਅਲਾਟ ਕੀਤੇ ਗਏ ਹਨ। |
| 3 | ਉਪਰੋਕਤ ਸਮੇਂ ਦੇ ਆਪਦੇ ਮੰਡਲ ਦੀਆਂ ਸਾਰੀਆਂ ਡਿਪਾਜ਼ਿਟ ਕੈਸ਼ ਬੁੱਕਾਂ ਦੀਆਂ ਫੋਟੋ ਕਾਪੀਆਂ ਦਿੱਤੀਆਂ ਜਾਣ। |
| 4 | ਉਪਰੋਕਤ ਸਮੇਂ ਵਿਚ ਜਿਹੜੇ ਕੰਮ 5 ਲੱਖ ਰੁਪਏ ਤੋਂ ਘੱਟ ਅਲਾਟ ਕੀਤੇ ਗਏ ਹਨ ਉਨ੍ਹਾਂ ਦੇ ਅਲਾਟਮੈਂਟ ਦੀਆਂ ਕਾਪੀਆਂ ਦਿੱਤੀਆਂ ਜਾਣ। ਅਤੇ ਇਨ੍ਹਾਂ ਅਗੇਸਟ ਹੇਠੀ ਅਦਾਇਗੀ ਦੇ ਬਿੱਲਾਂ ਦੀਆਂ ਕਾਪੀਆਂ ਦਿੱਤੀਆਂ ਜਾਣ। ਅਤੇ ਜੇਕਰ ਇਨ੍ਹਾਂ ਕੰਮਾਂ ਦੀ ਕੋਈ ਇਨਵਾਇਸਟ ਜਾਂ ਟਾਈਮ ਅਕਸੈਸ਼ਨ ਕੀਤੀ ਗਈ ਹੈ ਤਾਂ ਉਸਦੀ ਵੀ ਤਸਦੀਕਸ਼ੁਦਾ ਫੋਟੋ ਕਾਪੀ ਦਿੱਤੀ ਜਾਵੇ ਜੀ |
| 5 | ਸਮਾਂ 1-4-2020 ਤੋਂ 31-3-2021 ਤੱਕ ਦੇ ਆਪ ਦੇ ਅਧੀਨ ਆਉਂਦੇ ਸਾਰੇ ਉਪ ਮੰਡਲ ਦਫ਼ਤਰਾਂ ਦੇ ਕੁਟਸ਼ਨ ਰਜਿਸਟਰ/ਵਰਕ ਆਡਰ ਬੁੱਕ/ਆਪ ਵਲੋਂ ਕੁਟਸ਼ਨਾਂ ਦੀਆਂ ਦਿੱਤੀਆਂ ਅਪਰੂਵਲਾਂ/ ਅਤੇ ਕੁਟਸ਼ਨਾਂ ਅਗੇਸਟ ਕੀਤੀਆਂ ਅਦਾਇਗੀਆਂ ਦੇ ਬਿੱਲਾਂ ਦੀਆਂ ਕਾਪੀਆਂ ਦਿੱਤੀਆਂ ਜਾਣ। |

2. **Written Submission from the Respondent:** A correspondence dated 05.04.2022 via email is received in the Commission wherein the acknowledgment letter of the appellant about the receiving of the requisite information is attached. This correspondence is taken on record.

In view of above, the case is disposed of and closed.

Sd/-

Chandigarh
Dated: 13.04.2022

(Maninder Singh Patti)
State Information Commissioner, Pb.



Sh. Amardeep Singh, (8437151402)

S/o Sh. Harchand Singh,
Village Kuhil Khurd, P.O Lehal, Tehsil Payal,
Distt Ludhiana - 141117

.....Appellant/Complainant

Versus

Public Information Officer

O/o Asst. Registrar, Cooperative Societies, Payal,
Distt Ludhiana.

.....Respondent

First Appellate Authority

O/o Deputy Registrar, Cooperative Societies,
Ludhiana.

Appeal Case No.3297 of 2021
(Cisco Webex Proceedings)

RTI application filed on : 06-04-2021
PIO replied on : 09-06-2021
First appeal filed on : 13-05-2021
First Appellate Authority order : 26-05-2021

Present: Appellant: Sh. Amardeep Singh

Respondent: Sh. Kamaljeet Singh (Asst. Registrar), 9915505942

ORDER:

1. This order may be read with the reference of previous order of the Commission dated 22.12.2022.

Information sought:

1. ਕਿਸਾਨ ਕਰਜਾ ਮਾਫੀ ਸਕੀਮ ਤਹਿਤ ਪਿੰਡ ਕੂਹਲੀ ਖੁਰਦ ਦੇ ਕਿਸਾਨਾਂ ਦੀ ਪੰਜਾਬ ਸਰਕਾਰ ਵੱਲੋਂ ਕਰਜ਼ਮਾਫੀ ਮੰਨਜ਼ੂਰ ਹੋਕੇ ਆਈ ਲਿਸਟ ਹੇਠ ਲਿਖੇ ਪਰੋਫਾਰਮੇ ਅਨੁਸਾਰ:-

ਲੜੀ ਨੰਬਰ	ਕਿਸਾਨ ਦਾ ਨਾਮ	ਪਿਤਾ ਦਾ ਨਾਮ	ਤਮ
----------	--------------	-------------	----

2. ਸਹਿਕਾਰੀ ਬਹੁਮੰਤਵੀ ਸੋਸਾਇਟੀ, ਲਹਿਲ ਵੱਲੋਂ ਮਿਤੀ 31/12/2020 ਤੱਕ ਸਰਕਾਰ ਨੂੰ ਕਰਜ਼ਮਾਫੀ ਲਈ ਭੇਜੇ ਪਿੰਡ ਕੂਹਲੀ ਖੁਰਦ ਦੇ ਕਿਸਾਨਾਂ ਦੇ ਨਾਮ ਹੇਠ ਲਿਖੇ ਪਰੋਫਾਰਮੇ ਅਨੁਸਾਰ:-

ਲੜੀ ਨੰਬਰ	ਕਿਸਾਨ ਦਾ ਨਾਮ	ਪਿਤਾ ਦਾ ਨਾਮ	ਤਮ
----------	--------------	-------------	----

3. ਸਹਿਕਾਰੀ ਬਹੁਮੰਤਵੀ ਸੋਸਾਇਟੀ, ਲਹਿਲ ਵੱਲੋਂ ਮਿਤੀ 31/12/2020 ਤੱਕ ਕਰਜ਼ਮਾਫੀ ਲਈ ਸਰਕਾਰ ਨੂੰ ਭੇਜੇ ਗਏ ਪਿੰਡ ਕੂਹਲੀ ਖੁਰਦ ਦੇ Death ਕੇਸਾਂ ਦੀ ਲਿਸਟ।

2. Respondent, Sh. Kamaljeet Singh pleaded that with the compliance of the previous order of the Commission he has submitted his written submission dated 02.02.2022 vide diary no. 1992 in the commission.

3. In view of the aforesaid discussion and after going through the facts of the case, the Commission upheld the exclusion claimed by respondent authority in this case. Accordingly this case is disposed of and closed.

Sd/-

Chandigarh
Dated: 13.04.2022

(Maninder Singh Patti)
State Information Commissioner, Pb.



Sh. Tej Singh Dhaliwal, (9815900254)

Chamber No 6, Distt Courts, Ferozepur.

.....Appellant/Complainant

Versus

Public Information Officer

O/o Baba Farid University of Health Sciences,
Faridkot.

.....Respondent

First Appellate Authority

O/o Registrar, Baba Farid University of Health Sciences,
Faridkot.

Appeal Case No.2557 of 2021
(Cisco Webex Proceedings)

RTI application filed on	:	28-11-2020
PIO replied on	:	21-01-2021
First appeal filed on	:	15-02-2021
First Appellate Authority order	:	16-03-2021

Present: Appellant: Advocate Ravinderjit Singh on behalf of appellant

Respondent: Sh. Manjit Singh (Clerk), 9780014721

ORDER:

1. This order may be read with the reference of previous order of the Commission dated 22.12.2022.
2. **Written Submission from respondent:-** A letter dated 04.02.2022 vide diary no. 2294 is received by the undersigned bench the relevant portion of which is reproduced below:-

In compliance of the order dated 22.12.2021 passed by the Hon'ble Punjab State Information Commission, Punjab. It is submitted that a detailed reply duly signed by the undersigned and Dr. Nirmal Ouseppachan, I.A.S., Registrar-cum-First Appellate Authority, BFUHS, Faridkot has already been sent to your office vide this office letter no. 21169 dated 18.10.2021. Further, it is submitted that information sought by the appellant in his RTI Application, regarding para no. 1 to 5 has already been provided to the appellant by the Registrar-cum-First Appellate Authority, BFUHS, Faridkot, vide office letter no. 6109 dated 26.03.2021. Copy of letter is enclosed herewith for your ready reference.

Apart from this, it is submitted that the information of para no. 6 of the RTI application has not been provided to the appellant yet as application form is personal information of the candidate, which cannot be provided under RTI Act 2005, as it contains the personal information i.e. Date of Birth, Aadhar Card Number, Permanent Address, Mobile Number and qualification details.

In view of above, it is prayed that appeal may be kindly dismissed.

3. Both the parties were present and heard.
4. After hearing the parties and on perusal of the relevant documents on file, the Commission found no reason to disagree with the replies of the respondents. The replies of respondents upheld. The matter is disposed of accordingly at Commission's end.

Sd/-

Chandigarh
Dated: 13.04.2022

(Maninder Singh Patti)
State Information Commissioner, Pb.



Sh. Bittu, (9872455496)

S/o Om Kumar,
Village Bholapur, P.O Sahibana,
Chandigarh road, Distt. Ludhiana.

.....Appellant/Complainant

Versus

Public Information Officer

O/o SHO, Police Station, Division No. 1,
Distt. Ludhiana.

.....Respondent

Complaint Case No.777 of 2021
(Cisco Webex Proceedings)

Present: Complainant: Sh. Bittu
Respondent: Absent

ORDER:

1. To be read in the continuity of previous order of the Commission dated 19.10.2021.
2. The complainant has approached the Commission under the provision of Section 18 of the RTI Act, 2005, in which no directions for providing further information can be given by the Commission.

The Hon'ble Supreme Court of India in its Order rendered on 12.12.2011 in Civil Appeal Nos. 10787 – 10788 of 2011 (arising out of SLP © No.32768-32769/2010) has held that while entertaining a complaint under Section 18 of the RTI Act, 2005, the Commissioners have no jurisdiction to pass an order providing for an access to the information.
3. Since there is an alternative and efficacious remedy of first appeal available to the Complainant under Section 19(1) of the RTI Act, 2005, which has not been availed in the instant case and the First Appellate Authority has not had the occasion to review the decision of the PIO, as envisaged under the RTI Act by passing a detailed well reasoned speaking order.
4. If, however, the complainant does not feel satisfied with the decision of the First Appellate Authority, he will be at liberty to file a Second Appeal before the Commission under Section 19(3) of the RTI Act, 2005.

Complaint Case No.777 of 2021
(Cisco Webex Proceedings)

5. In view of the observations noted above, the instant case is remanded to the concerned First Appellate Authority along with a copy of RTI application for their ready reference and is also directed to call the complainant **Sh. Bittu** within 15 days of receipt of this order provide the information/reply pertaining to this RTI application. A compliance report of the same has to be sent in the commission. The appeal is disposed of accordingly, with the above observations and directions.

Chandigarh
Dated: 10.11.2021

Sd/-
(Maninder Singh Patti)
State Information Commissioner, Pb.

First Appellate Authority
O/o Police Commissioner,
Ludhiana.

– for compliance of the Order



Sh. Bittu, (9872455496)

S/o Om Kumar,
Village Bholapur, P.O Sahibana,
Chandigarh road, Distt. Ludhiana.

.....Appellant/Complainant

Versus

Public Information Officer

O/o SHO, Police Station, Sarabha Nagar,
Distt. Ludhiana.

.....Respondent

Complaint Case No.828 of 2021
(Cisco Webex Proceedings)

Present: Complainant: Sh. Bittu
Respondent: Absent

ORDER:

1. To be read in the continuity of previous order of the Commission dated 19.10.2021.
2. The complainant has approached the Commission under the provision of Section 18 of the RTI Act, 2005, in which no directions for providing further information can be given by the Commission.

The Hon'ble Supreme Court of India in its Order rendered on 12.12.2011 in Civil Appeal Nos. 10787 – 10788 of 2011 (arising out of SLP © No.32768-32769/2010) has held that while entertaining a complaint under Section 18 of the RTI Act, 2005, the Commissioners have no jurisdiction to pass an order providing for an access to the information.
3. Since there is an alternative and efficacious remedy of first appeal available to the Complainant under Section 19(1) of the RTI Act, 2005, which has not been availed in the instant case and the First Appellate Authority has not had the occasion to review the decision of the PIO, as envisaged under the RTI Act by passing a detailed well reasoned speaking order.
4. If, however, the complainant does not feel satisfied with the decision of the First Appellate Authority, he will be at liberty to file a Second Appeal before the Commission under Section 19(3) of the RTI Act, 2005.

Complaint Case No.828 of 2021
(Cisco Webex Proceedings)

5. In view of the observations noted above, the instant case is remanded to the concerned First Appellate Authority along with a copy of RTI application for their ready reference and is also directed to call the complainant **Sh. Bittu** within 15 days of receipt of this order provide the information/reply pertaining to this RTI application. A compliance report of the same has to be sent in the commission. The appeal is disposed of accordingly, with the above observations and directions.

Chandigarh
Dated: 10.11.2021

Sd/-
(Maninder Singh Patti)
State Information Commissioner, Pb.

First Appellate Authority
O/o Police Commissioner,
Ludhiana.

– for compliance of the Order